



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,923 10/04/2000		Kenneth G, DeRoche	K-1633 7253		
75	590 07/16/2002			/	
Larry R. Meenan			EXAMINER		
Kennametal Inc P. O. Box 231	>.		TSAI, HENRY		
Latrobe, PA 15650			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3722		
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/678,923		DEROCHE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	 			
		Henry W.H. Ts	ai	3722				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			orrespondence addr	ess			
Period for Reply								
THE - Extended after - If the If No If No If Any If	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho y within the statutory r vill apply and will expi , cause the applicatio	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status —								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J							
2a)⊠	,	is action is non						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	1.		/				
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		eration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requi	rement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/4/00</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
,	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "26", "24", "36", "50" and "52". Correction is required.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, In claim 1, line 1 and 6, the "all effective cutting" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Note the "all effective" cutting description in the specification and drawings is unclear. In addition, "26", "24", "36", "50" and "52" are not shown in the drawings. The definition of "all effective cutting" is not understandable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

As set forth above, the "all effective cutting" description in the specification and drawings is unclear. The "all effective cutting" is not well defined.

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,923
Art Unit: 3722

In claim 1, line 1 and 6, and claim 8, line 7, it is not clear what is meant by "all effective cutting" since it was not well defined.

Applicant is required to review the claims and correct all language which does not comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsujimura et al. (4,844,666).

Tsujimura et al. discloses the claimed invention comprising, as shown in Fig. 15, a tool body having an outer surface thereon and a central axis therein and including at least a first(including 24a) and second(including 24b) spiraling flute in the outer surface, each flute including a plurality of inserts(24a, 24b) secured therein to define an axial rake angle, wherein the axial rake angle of the inserts(24a, 24b) varies

between flutes(e.g., changing from al to a4), and within each flute (changing from a1, a2, to a3 for the flute having inserts 24a) to provide all effective cutting.

Note Tsujimura et al. also discloses the limitations described in claims 2-14, such as all of the inserts(24a, 24b) on the entire tool body being identical; the inserts each having a cutting edge and the cutting edges on inserts with differing axial rake angles have differing cutting edge lengths.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3-6, and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsujimura et al. in view of Dutschke et al. (5,425,603).

Tsujimura et al. discloses the claimed invention except for: the tool body including three spiraling flutes; the actual

Application/Control Number: 09/678,923

Art Unit: 3722

lengths of adjacent inserts in any flute circumferentially overlap.

Dutschke et al. discloses a cutting insert comprising the tool body including three spiraling flutes(18); the actual lengths of adjacent inserts in any flute circumferentially overlap as shown in Figs. 3a, 3b.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsujimura et al.'s insert to comprise the tool body including three spiraling flutes; and the actual lengths of adjacent inserts in any flute circumferentially overlap, as taught by Dutschke et al., in order to simplify the structure, and to facilitate the cutting process, such as better guiding the chip flow in different machining conditions for the Tsujimura et al.'s tool.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments mailed 6/3/02 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants argue that the rake angle of the inserts (of Tsujimura et al.'s tool) does not vary between flutes and within each flute to provide all effective cutting.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,923
Art Unit: 3722

Examiner disagrees with Applicants. As set forth in the art rejections above, Tsujimura et al., as shown in Fig. 15, discloses the axial rake angle of the inserts(24a, 24b) varies between flutes(e.g., changing from al to a4), and within each flute (changing from a1, a2, to a3 for the flute having inserts 24a) to provide all effective cutting. Further, the definition of "all effective cutting" is unclear as set forth in the above rejections.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated

from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Henry Tsai whose telephone number is (703) 308-7600. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.
- 13. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays,
 Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions
 directly into: the Group at fax number 703-872-9302; and
 Official faxes for After Final amendments should be sent to 703872-9303. This practice may be used for filing papers not
 requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which
 require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO

Application/Control Number: 09/678,923

Art Unit: 3722

deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3700 will be promptly forward to the examiner.

HENRY TSAI PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 9

July 14, 2002